

## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <a href="http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content">http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content</a>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

ecclesiastical. Hence, the same institution appears in its three or four different capacities, and its history is traced in as many different relations. I am inclined to the opinion that this method of treatment will be found to be peculiarly helpful to the American student who has in his own government an easily distinguishable history for the separate legislative, executive and judicial institutions. The form of Mr. Medley's book ought to make it easier for the American to see that the English have not separate institutions in the same sense.

JESSE MACY.

Les Luttes entre sociétés humaines et leurs phases successives. Par J. Novicow. Price, 10 fr. Paris: Felix Alcan, 1893.

This is a thick book, and makes very tiresome reading. The author undertakes to prove that conflict is the general law of the universe. It even begins, according to his view, among atoms and molecules. "The struggle among atoms will be eternal" (p. 6). This conflict is continued among the heavenly bodies, in our solar system, in the vegetable and animal kingdoms, and among men. Side by side with it there exists a tendency toward association. "Human hordes unite to form tribes; tribes form towns; towns combine into States" (p. 10). "There is nothing opposed to the assumption that, some time in the future, a great federation of States will take the place of the present order of things" (p. 11). In all associations the constituent elements continue the struggle. Every conflict, however, must end with adaptation to the whole, or with the elimination of that element which does not so adapt itself. Among men this conflict passes through several successive phases. Its first form is cannibalism; then follows slavery, pillage and political subjugation. In other words, the struggle among men passes through the alimentary, economic and political phases, and becomes in the end a mental conflict.

The author describes at length the different aspects of this conflict, and, in this connection, censures those who carry on war for the sake sake of riches. "War and wealth are antagonistic," since every war destroys wealth. The author rings in the changes on this thought in the most varied forms, in order to express his conviction that a better insight and more perfect wisdom must some day lead to doing away with war. "Political tactics have been, therefore, hitherto on the wrong road" (p. 236). Instead of waging wars, it would be better "to settle the political boundaries of States by the free agreement of the citizens" (p. 237). Then the basis of the different political territories would be nationality, which rests chiefly on similarity

of language and customs. In conflicts between nationalities, however, the State should not interfere.

The author devotes one part of his book (Part IV) to the phenomenon of solidarity. This is promoted by political administration, by security, justice, etc. He speaks in the next part (Part V) of the errors of modern political principles, which he finds "inconsistent and absurd" (p. 658), and he cites, as proof of his views, numerous incidents from modern history. He looks for an improvement resulting from the development of social science and from socialism. "Yes, it is the socialist party which is preparing for us a better destiny" (p. 737).

This is in brief the substance of the book. With its political tendencies the reader feels himself to be partly in accord, but the scientific method leaves much to be desired. It must always be prejudicial to objective investigation when the economist has the purpose of making the world better. The idea of doing away with war and dividing off States according to nationality is no new one, but it belongs in the realm of Utopias. In the case of the author, who is a Russian, it suggests that he would like to see all the European Slavs united under one government. Subjective desires such as this obscure the view of human evolution, which proceeds according to natural laws. Political tendencies such as this do not belong to science.

Mention should be made of yet another circumstance. taneously with the appearance of this book, there was published in Paris, by Guillaumin, the French translation\* of a work by Gumplowicz on "The Conflict of Races," a work which had come out in German ten years before. A French sociologist, Gustave Tarde, reviewed both these books at the same time in the Revue Philosophique of Ribot, and expressed his surprise that the two authors, who did not know one another, agreed on so many leading points. Now, it was impossible for Gumplowicz, in 1882, to know the work of Novicow, which appeared first in 1893. But Novicow, in his reply to Tarde in the Revue Philosophique, acknowledges that he had read Gumplowicz's book on "The Conflict of Races" in German, and states that he does not agree with some of the views expressed in it. Now, it is strange that he did not mention that book in his own work. If the book of Gumplowicz had not accidentally appeared in French translation at the same time as that by Novicow, the similarity between the two works in many leading points would have quite escaped the French critics. LUDWIG GUMPLOWICZ.

[Translated by Ellen C. Semple.]

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;La Lutte des Races" traduit par Charles Baye. Paris: Guillaumin, 1893.